

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/939,306		08/24/2001	Thomas Lemmons	INTE.14USU1	2562	
43997	7590	05/26/2005		EXAM	INER	
OPTV/MO			JONES, SCOTT E			
		OERSTER LLP EVARD, SUITI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MCLEAN,		·		3713		
•				DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

mh

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/939,306	LEMMONS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Scott E. Jones	3713		

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Scott E. Jones.	(3)		
(2) <u>James Denaro</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 24 May 2005.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)⊡ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>17-50</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Eliat (WO 99/00163).			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ♀	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	·
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		if an agreement	was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that w	reed would rend vould render the	er the claims claims
THE CODMAL MOITTEN PEDLY TO THE LAST OFFICE	ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE	SUBSTANCE	OF THE

INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

> **SCOTT JONES** PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative requested an interview to discuss Eliat's disclosure of transmitting message data from a first set top box to a video game server, receiving message data at the video game server, and transferring the message data from the video game server to a second set top box. The examiner discussed how the instant application does not seem to clearly delineate the term message. Therefore, the examiner has broadly interpreted message to be essentially any game data that is transferred from a first player to a second player via a headend or video game server. In the Office Action, the examiner cited Page 7, lines 18-27, which discloses a player modifies a perspective image in a virtual studio and the modified image is broadcast to at least a second player. However, as discussed above, any other game data transferred from a first player to a second player would read on transferring message data. In conclusion, full and fair consideration will be given to Applicant's timely submitted, official written response to the Office.

PTOL-413A (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	Applicant	Initiated Interview	v Request For		
Application No.: Examiner: Scott E.	09/939,306. Jones	First Named Applica	nt: Tho	mas LEMMON	S LED
Tentative Participants	:				
(1) James Denard	o (atty.)	(2)			
(3)		(4)			
Proposed Date of Inter	rview: <u>-780 5/2</u>	4/05 Propo	sed Time: TBB	(M)PM)	
Type of Interview Req (1) X Telephonic	uested: (2) Personal	(3) Video	Conference	•	
Exhibit To Be Shown	_	YES	NO		
If yes, provide brief de	escription:				
	•	Issues To Be Dise	cussed		
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej</u> .	17-50	Eliat	\bowtie	\bowtie	
(2)					
(3)					
(4)					
Continuation S					
video game serv	cussion of disclosur	e in Eliat of transmitting age data at the video ga a second set top box.	message data fromme server, and tr	om a first set to ransferring the	p box to a message
An interview was cond	ducted on the above-io	Jentified application on			
§713.01). This application will	not be delayed from	ant and submitted to the e issue because of applicant d to file a statement of the	's failure to submit	t a written recor	d of this
as soon as possible.			Sutt E.	mes	
Applicant/App	plicant's Representati	ve Signature	Example	er/SPE Signature	
Typed/Printed N	Name of Applicant or l	Representative			
Registr	ation Number, if appli	icable			
1					